

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/940,026	LEE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131

**All Participants:**

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jonathan W. Hallman (Reg. No. 42,622).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 March 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 10-12, and 20*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner advised the Applicant that if amendments delineating additional steps in the inventive process were brought in, and the limitations of claims 6 and 7 were incorporated into the independent claims, that the application would be allowable over the Cited Prior Art of record. Applicant agreed to amending the claims in the manner suggested by the Examiner..